Development of a prototype for Fluorescence detector Array of Single-pixel Telescopes (FAST)
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## Physics Goal and Future Prospects

## Origin and Nature of UHECRs

## Particle Interaction at the Highest Energies

$$
5-10 \text { years }
$$



Exposure and full sky coverage TA $\times 4+$ Auger
JEM-EUSO: Pioneer detection from space and sizable increase of exposure

| Detector R\&D |
| :--- |
| Radio, |
| SiPM detector, |
| FD or SD |

"Precision" measurement Auger Muon Upgrade Low energy enhancement (TALE+TA-muon + NICHE, Auger infill+HEAT+AMIGA)

# Fluorescence detector Array of Single-Pixel Telescopes (FAST) 

$\uparrow$ Target : > $10^{19.5} \mathbf{e V}$, UHE nuclei and neutral particles
$\downarrow$ Huge target volume $\Rightarrow$ Fluorescence detector array
Fine pixelated camera (Auger, TA)



Too expensive to cover a large area


Shower profile reconstruction by given geometry




## Fluorescence detector Array of Single-Pixel Telescopes (FAST)

## ,

20 km
-









## Window of Opportunity at EUSO-TA

Telescope Array, Utah, USA

Black Rock Mesa site


EUSO-TA optics

M. Casolino (RIKEN), M. Bertaina, M. Marengo, F. Borotto, B. Giraudo (INFN-Torino)

* Two Fresnel lenses (+ 1 UV acrylic plate in front for protection)
- $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ aperture, $14^{\circ} \times 14^{\circ} \mathrm{FoV} \fallingdotseq$ FAST reference design.
- Installation in February 2014, test measurements in April and June 2014.
- Collaboration between Pierre Auger, Telescope Array and JEM-EUSO.


## Camera of FAST



- PMT 8 inch R5912-03
$\rightarrow$ E7694-01 (AC coupling)
- MUG6 UV band pass filter
$\downarrow$ YAP ( $\left.\mathrm{YAIO}_{3}: \mathrm{Ce}\right)$ scintillator with ${ }^{241} \mathrm{Am}(50 \mathrm{~Hz})$ to monitor gain stability.



## DAQ System

TAFD external trigger, $3 \sim 5 \mathrm{~Hz}$

Anode \& dynode Signal

Amplifiers
Camera of FAST


15 MHz
low pass filter

Portable VME Electronics

- Struck FADC 50 MHz sampling, SIS3350
- GPS board, HytecGPS2092

R979 CAEN 777, Phillips scientific
Signal $\times 10$


High Voltage power supply, N1419 CAEN

All modules are remotely controlled through wireless network.

# Installation in February 2014 

Fluorescence detector Arrav of Single-pixel Telescopes



9 Start observation!!


## Operation in Clear Night


$\downarrow$ Variance is proportional to PMT current. Electronic noise is negligible with regard to night sky background.

- Good gain stability during data taking, consistent with PMT gain temperature dependence of $-1 \% /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


## GPS Timing and CLF Signal



## Central Laser Facility

 Vertical UV laser shooting every 30 minutes, 21 km from FAST, $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.2 \mathrm{~mJ}, 300$ shotsTrace Sum - Channel 0



GPS timing difference (FAST - TAFD) [ $\mu \mathrm{s}$ ]

- FAST-TAFD timing resolution, 100 ns . ( $20.9 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ is the TAFD trigger processing time.)
$\uparrow$ laser signal $\sim 10^{19.5} \mathrm{eV}$ at 21 km peak signal $\sim 7$ p.e. / 100 ns ( $\sigma_{\text {p.e. }}$ $=12$ p.e.) at the limit of detectability


##  <br> Fhuorescence detector Arrav of Single-pixel Telescones

Trace Sum - Channel 0


Raytracing
Y. Takizawa (RIKEN)
$+14^{\circ}$


Directional sensitivity


## Portable Laser Signal

Event 101 - Channel 0



- Vertical UV laser with same energy of CLF ( $\sim 10^{19.5} \mathrm{eV}$ ) at 6 km from FAST.

Operated by K. Yamazaki (OCU)

- Peak signal ~300 p.e. / 100 ns. All shots are detected.
$\downarrow$ Expected signal TAFD/FAST: ( $7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ aperture $\times 0.7$ shadow $\times$ 0.9 mirror $) /\left(1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right.$ aperture $\times$ 0.43 optics efficiency) $\sim 10$



## Shower Signal Search





- Data set: April and June observation, 19 days, 83 hours.
- 16 candidates found.
$\uparrow$ Low energy showers as expected.



##  <br> Comparison with simulated signal using result reconstructed by TAFD



Event 582 - Channel 0



Event 111 - Channel 0


A signal location is fluctuated within the TAFD trigger frame of $12.8 \mu \mathrm{~s}$.

## Distance vs Energy（from TAFD）for Candidates




| $\Gamma$ Supeimpone nistoyans |
| :---: |
| $\Gamma$ seecet signu oniy |
| F Signa in photoetections |
| $\Gamma$ Seloet CLF Signt Cmodites |
| －7 Jbee comection |
| 5 Fast Fourier Trandorn |
| －Chasnel 0 conly |
| Chamel 0 Calib ${ }^{0.3}$ 희 |
| Chamel 1 Calb 0 0．83 |
| Sum up bin ${ }^{\text {s }}$ 类 |
| －Spectrum Hstogam |
| Reculculis Hatbogies |
| －Signal |
|  |
| Pesentax Upper Ein ${ }^{1070}$ 호 |
| Signal towe Bin ${ }^{1970}$＊ |
| Signa Upper Bin ${ }^{2000}$ 者 |
| Sigatiance（SNT）$\square^{10}$ 䊀 |
| Sipal min $\square^{1}$ 匊 |
| Recalculate Spectrum |
| Event UTC Date and Time |
| 201407071 |
| 09．0103241279730 |
| UTC recond |
| 5150305883 |
| Protie Reconituxion |

Figure 14：Distribution of the impact parameter as a function of the primary energy recon structed by TA for shower candidates detected by the FAST prototype．The line indicates the maximum detectable distance by the FAST prototype（not fitted）．

Almost！ $\log (E / \mathrm{eV})=19.1$


## Simulation Study



+ 4 PMTs Telescope

- Reconstruction efficiency

| $\log \mathrm{E}$ | Proton | Iron |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18.5 | 0.65 | 0.56 |
| 19.0 | 0.88 | 0.89 |
| 19.5 | 0.99 | 1.00 |



## Summary and Future Plans

- Promising results from the first field test of FAST concept:
- very stable and simple operation
- robust behavior under night sky background (gain stability, a single bright star does not matter when integrating over the large FAST FOV)
- laser shots and shower candidates detected
- sensitivity is consistent with simulated expectation
- Very successful example of Auger, TA, JEM-EUSO collaboration.
- Several improvements possible, e.g. high Q.E. PMT, narrow UV pass filter, mirror design, reconstruction method, etc.
$\uparrow$ Next step: full $30^{\circ} \times 30^{\circ}$ prototype.



# Backup 



## Coverage and the number of FAST stations



20

| L_st | S [km^2] | Cost Ms USD |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 1 | 7 | 1038 | 0.7 |
| 2 | 19 | 4152 | 1.9 |
| 3 | 37 | 9342 | 3.7 |
| 4 | 61 | 16608 | 6.1 |
| 5 | 91 | 25950 | 9.1 |
| 6 | 127 | 37368 | 12.7 |
| 7 | 169 | 50862 | 16.9 |
| 8 | 217 | 66432 | 21.7 |
| 9 | 271 | 84078 | 27.1 |
| 10 | 331 | 103800 | 33.1 |
| 11 | 397 | 125598 | 39.7 |
| 12 | 469 | 149472 | 46.9 |
| 13 | 547 | 175422 | 54.7 |
| 14 | 631 | 203448 | 63.1 |
| 15 | 721 | 233550 | 72.1 |
| 16 | 817 | 265728 | 81.7 |
| 17 | 919 | 299982 | 91.9 |
| 18 | 1027 | 336312 | 102.7 |
| 19 | 1141 | 374718 | 114.1 |
| 20 | 1261 | 415200 | 126.1 |

## Gain Calibration by LED in Laboratory



